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A kinetic study of the base-catalysed cleavage of the diol $\operatorname{RSiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}=m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has indicated that at high base concentrations the main process is the unimolecular dissociation of the dianion $\mathrm{RSiMe}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ into $\mathrm{R}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right) \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O}$ (an analogue of acetate ion), both of which then react rapidly with the solvent. Likewise for the triol $\mathrm{RSi}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ the main process appears to be the formation of $\mathrm{R}^{-}$and the metasilicate ion $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)-$ $\mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O}$ from the dianion $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$. Base cleavage of the silanols $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ or m $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ probably involves a contribution from the unimolecular dissociation of the anion $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$to give $\mathrm{R}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}=0$.

We previously suggested that when the compounds $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2}{ }^{-}$ OMe ( $\mathrm{R}=m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ ) are dissolved in $5 \%$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ containing NaOH the cleavages of the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Si}$ bonds involve concurrent reactions of the neutral species $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and the anionic species $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{O}^{-} .{ }^{1}$ Thus the value of the observed first-order rate constant $k_{\text {obs }}$, is thought to be the sum of the pseudo-first-order rate constants for cleavage by attack of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$(and $\mathrm{OMe}^{-}$) on the silicon atom of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and the firstorder rate constant for spontaneous, i.e. unimolecular, cleavage of the $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$anion, in a process, depicted below ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ or Me ), involving a silanone intermediate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{2}-\overline{\mathrm{O}} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{-}+\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{XOH} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OX}) \mathrm{OH} \\
\mathrm{R}^{-}+\mathrm{XOH} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{RH}+\mathrm{OX}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

For those cleavages, the plot of the observed first-order rate constant $k_{\text {obs }}$ against the base concentration [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] initially rises steeply with increase in the base concentration then curves off to an almost constant value of $k_{\text {obs }}$. At the higher base concentrations the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ remains approximately constant because (a) almost all the $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ is converted via $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ into $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$; (b) additional base has little effect on the concentration of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$(while substantially lowering the concentration of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ); and (c) an increase in the base concentration which halves the combined amount of the neutral species ( $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ) at the same time roughly doubles the rate at which the remaining half reacts, and so the contribution from the bimolecular cleavage is approximately constant. The experimental result could be very satisfactorily interpreted in terms of this picture, and on reasonable assumptions it appeared that the contributions by the unimolecular decomposition of the $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$species were substantial, but it was recognised that plot of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ against [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] would have the same form even if only cleavages of the neutral species were significant. ${ }^{1}$ There is, however, strong independent evidence, based on the abnormally high reactivity of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right] \mathrm{SiPh}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{I}$ in the presence of base, for ejection of an anionic ligand from a silanolate ion to give a silanone. ${ }^{1 a, 2}$

To throw further light on the mechanism of base cleavage of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ compounds we decided to examine such reactions in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ (in which there would be no complication arising from the presence of other neutral species such as $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ ), and later extended the study to cleavages of $\mathrm{RSiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{RSi}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ species. The results are described below. (For a preliminary report see ref. 3.)

## Results and Discussion

We first examined the cleavages of the compounds $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (generated in situ from $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2}{ }^{-}$ OMe ) in $1: 1$ and $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me}{ }_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. As expected, the values of the observed first-order rate constants $k_{\text {obs }}$ for cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ were much higher in these media, especially in the less aqueous one, than for those in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH}$; the ratios of the rate constants for $0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ base in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 1: 1$, and $3: 1$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are $c a .1: 100: 40000$. The ratios are reasonably in line with the increase in the basicities of the solutions, as indicated by the values of acidity function $h_{-}$(where the more usual acidity function $H_{-}$is equal to $-\log h_{-}$), which are roughly in the ratio $1: 10^{2}: 10^{4}$ for $0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ base in the three media; ${ }^{4,5}$ such dependence of the rate of cleavage of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{3}$ compounds on the acidity function has been observed previously for cleavages in other media. ${ }^{6}$

In order to investigate the possibility that the basicities of solutions of KOH in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ media, and thus the rates of cleavage in processes involving nucleophilic attack by $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ on silicon, might depart seriously from a linear dependence on the base concentration in the range used in the present studies (as do those of solutions of base in alcohols at high base concentrations), we determined first-order rate constants $k$ for cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ in $3: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.675 \times 10^{-2}-12.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} \mathrm{KOH}$. The results are shown in Table 1, and it will be seen that the value of the specific rate constant $k /[\mathrm{KOH}]$ falls progressively by a factor of almost two as the base concentration is increased, but this variation is small enough to justify the approximation made in the later discussion that the basicities in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ media are proportional to the base concentration. It is of interest that the values of $k$, and thus presumably the basicities of the solutions, increase with base concentration less than would be expected for simple proportionality, whereas in alcohols the basicities
and rates of cleavage increase more rapidly with base concentration than would be expected on that basis. It is also noteworthy that in the cleavage in $3: 1 \quad \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, replacement of KOH by NaOH has little effect on the value of $k$. From measurements of $k$ at 25 and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at one base concentration, approximate values of $15 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and -21 cal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ can be derived for the energy and entropy of activation, respectively, and these are consistent with a bimolecular process.

Values of the observed first-order rate constant $k_{\text {obs }}$ at various base concentrations for cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in $1: 1$ and 3:1 Me ${ }_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, are shown in Table 2. Plots (not shown) of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against the base concentration have the same general form as those observed for the cleavages in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ mentioned earlier, i.e. the observed rate constant curves off towards a constant value as $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ is progressively converted into $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

As shown previously for reactions in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{1}$ if the basicity is assumed to increase linearly with the base concentration, then the value of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ medium concerned will be given by equation (1), where $k_{\mathrm{AOH}}$ is the (second-order) rate constant for the neutral species $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (subsequently denoted by AOH ), $k_{\mathrm{AO}^{-}}$the first-

Table 1. First-order rate constants $k$ for cleavage of $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ in $x: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $\mathrm{MOH}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{K}$ or Na ).

|  |  | $\mathrm{X}=m-\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $x$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{2}[\mathrm{MOH}] / \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | $10^{2} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $k /[\mathrm{MOH}]$ | [ KOH ]/ $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{5} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| 25 | 3 | 0.675 | 0.39 | 0.58 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.50 | 0.77 | 0.51 |  |  |
|  |  | 2.80 | 1.38 | 0.49 |  |  |
|  |  | 4.00 | 1.59 | 0.42 |  |  |
|  |  | 8.13 | 2.65 | 0.32 |  |  |
|  |  | 9.25 | 3.19 | 0.35 |  |  |
|  |  | 12.7 | 3.77 | 0.30 |  |  |
|  |  | $8.05{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3.13{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.39 |  |  |
|  |  | $9.00{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3.45{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.38 |  |  |
|  |  | $11.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3.90{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.35 |  |  |
| 50 | 3 |  |  |  | 0.200 | 800 |
| 50 | 1 |  |  |  | 0.200 | 2.00 |
| 25 | 1 | 0.725 | 0.129 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 1 | 0.72 | 0.99 |  |  |  |

a $8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} .{ }^{b} 15 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{K}$ unless otherwise indicated. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}$.
order rate constant for unimolecular cleavage of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ (subsequently denoted by $\mathrm{AO}^{-}$), and $r$ the fraction of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2}-$ OH converted into $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$(i.e. $r=\left[\mathrm{AO}^{-}\right] /\left(\left[\mathrm{AO}^{-}\right]+\right.$ $[\mathrm{AOH}])$. This can $\left\{\right.$ since $\left.\left[\mathrm{AO}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{AOH}]=r /(1-r)\right\}$ be transformed into equation (2), where $K$ is $\left[\mathrm{AO}^{-}\right] /([\mathrm{AOH}]-$ $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$).

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k_{\mathrm{AOH}}(1-r)\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+r k_{\mathrm{AO}^{-}}  \tag{1}\\
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=\left\{\left(k_{\mathrm{AOH}} / \mathrm{K}\right)+k_{\mathrm{AO}^{-}}\right\} r \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus the plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against $r$ should be linear, with a slope related to the values of $k_{\mathrm{AOH}}, k_{\mathrm{AO}^{-}}$, and $K$. When approximate values of $K$ determined spectroscopically (see below) are used to determine values of $r$, the plots (not shown) of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against $r$, are indeed linear for both media, and from their slopes, values of $k_{\mathrm{AOH}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{AO}}{ }^{-}$can be estimated as described below.

Approximate values of $K$ of 13 and $200 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, respectively, were derived for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in $1: 1$ and $3: 1$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by observing the change in the u.v. spectrum of solutions of the silanol containing various amounts of KOH (see the Experimental section). The 15 -fold increase in $K$ on going from the $1: 1$ to $3: 1$ medium might at first sight seem rather small in view of the increase in $h_{-}$by a factor of $c a .10^{2}$, but the acidity functions were determined with amines that would give highly delocalized anions, which are hydrated much less effectively than hydroxide ions in water, and so are much less affected by the decrease in solvation on going to the less aqueous media. The hydration of silanolate ions, however, as of alkoxide ions with essentially localized charges (cf. ref. 4), would be rather similar to that of hydroxide ions, and so replacement of water by $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ would not be expected to increase the ionization of silanols by a large amount.

In MeOH , there is a factor of 9 between the rate constants for cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$. If we assume a factor of 10 between the rate constants for the simple bimolecular cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then from the slope, $7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of the plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against $r$, and the value of $K$ of $13 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, a value of $6.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ can be derived for $k_{\mathrm{AO}^{-}}$. Similarly for the $3: 1$ medium, use of a value of $k_{\mathrm{AOH}}$ of $4.0 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for bimolecular cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ along with one of 200 $\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $K$, leads to a value of $6.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $k_{\mathrm{AO}}{ }^{-}$. (A factor of 10 between the rate constants for the unimolecular process in the two media is reasonable, since the silanolate ion would be stabilized by hydration more effectively in the more aqueous medium than in the less; but there would be significantly less difference between the effects of hydration on

Table 2. First-order rate constants $k_{\text {obs }}$ for cleavage of $50.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of $\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in $x: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing KOH .

${ }^{a} 1.45 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \cdot{ }^{b} 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$.

Table 3. First-order rate constants $k_{\text {obs }}$ for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(8.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ in $x: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me} 2 \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing KOH .

| $x=1 ; \theta=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $x=1 ; \theta=50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $x=3 ; \theta=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{3} \overbrace{[\mathrm{KOH}] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}}$ | $10^{3} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $10^{3}[\mathrm{KOH}] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{3} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $10^{3}[\mathrm{KOH}] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{3} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| 2.25 | 0.137 | 25.0 | 2.93 | 2.20 | 4.6 |
| 16.5 | 0.67 | 45.0 | 3.00 | 7.50 | 5.7 |
| 27.0 | 0.176 | 48.0 | 3.30 | 10.0 | 5.6 |
| 49.0 | 0.188 | 97 | 3.45 | 10.0 | $5.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 105 | 0.212 | 135 | 3.70 | 12.5 | 5.5 |
| 150 | 0.213 | 200 | 3.70 | 24.0 | 4.9 |
| 195 | 0.213 | 320 | 4.45 | 35.0 | 4.25 |
| 280 | 0.230 | 610 | 4.5 | 60 | 3.9 |
| $280{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.221^{\text {b }}$ | 1350 | 5.2 | 70 | 3.8 |
| 440 | 0.231 |  |  | 120 | 3.9 |
| 500 | 0.240 |  |  | 145 | 3.2 |
| 600 | 0.224 |  |  | 16.3 | 3.5 |

${ }^{a}$ This run was carried out with a different batch of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ in order to check on reproducibility. ${ }^{b}$ Initial concentration of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ was $4.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$.


Figure 1. Plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against concentration of KOH for cleavage of $m$ $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me} \mathbf{M O}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [curve (a)] and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [curve (b)]. The lines shown are those generated from equation (5) by use of the values of $K_{1}, K_{2}, B$, and $k_{\left.\mathrm{AOO}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ shown in Table 4.
the transition states, with their dispersed negative charges.) In terms of this analysis, the unimolecular process would contribute $c a .90 \%$ of the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ in the $1: 1$ medium and $c a$. $80 \%$ in the $3: 1$ medium.

We must, however, consider the possibility that the cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$makes no contribution in either medium. In this case, for the $1: 1$ medium, from the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ of $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $r 0.50$ and $[\mathrm{OH}]^{-} 0.075 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, it would follow from equation (1) that $k_{\mathrm{AOH}} 9.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. A similar calculation for the $3: 1$ medium gives $k_{\mathrm{AOH}} 1.7 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The results imply an increase in $k_{\mathrm{AH}}$ on going from the $1: 1$ to the $1: 3$ medium by a factor of only 180 , compared with that
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Figure 2. Plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against concentration of KOH for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me} 2 \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The line shown is that generated from equation (5) by use of the values of $K_{1}, K_{2}$, $B$, and $\left.k_{\mathrm{AOO}}{ }^{-}\right)_{2}$ shown in Table 4.
of $c a .400$ observed for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$. They also imply that the value of the second-order rate constant for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ would be 93 times as large as that for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ in the 1:1 and 40 times in the $3: 1$ medium. These factors seem rather large, but are not so improbable as to rule out completely the possibility that cleavage of the anion makes no significant contribution in either medium.. We suspect that there is, indeed, a contribution from this process, but that it is perhaps not as dominant as was suggested on the basis of our assumption that the bimolecular cleavage of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ is only ten times as fast as that of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$.

We also examined the cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (generated in situ from $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ ) in $1: 1$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the results shown in Table 2. The data can be best fitted (and the fit is very good) for a value of $K$ of $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, and such a value, $c a .50 \%$ larger than that determined for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, is reasonable in view of the increase in acidity associated with the electron withdrawal by the $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ substituent. The corresponding limiting rate constant at high base concentrations is calculated as $7.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, c a$. 110 times larger than that for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. (Both $k_{\mathrm{AOH}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{AO}}{ }^{-}$would be expected to be substantially larger for the $m$ chloro compound.)

We then turned to cleavage in $1: 1$ and $3: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of the diol $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \quad$ [subsequently often denoted by $\mathrm{RSiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ or $\left.\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]$, generated in situ from $m$ $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2} .{ }^{*}$ The values of the rate constants are shown in Table 3, and plots of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ against [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] appear in Figures 1 and 2.


Figure 3. Plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against concentration of KOH for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in $1: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The line shown is that derived from equation (5) with the values of $K_{1}, K_{2}, B$, and $k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ shown in Table 4.

In solutions of the diol $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ the following equilibria will be rapidly established:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)+\mathrm{OH}^{-} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

That the second ionization, to give $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$, would be likely to be significant was suggested by the fact that the second dissociation constant for orthosilic acid, $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$, is only $c a .100$ times smaller than the first, and that in more concentrated aqueous alkali there is virtually complete conversion into $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2} .^{7} \mathrm{~A}$ similar difference between the first and second dissociation constants of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, can be confidently expected, and so virtually complete conversion into the dianions $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ in the very strongly basic solutions in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ media used in the present studies.

It can safely be assumed that the bimolecular cleavage of the doubly charged species $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ by attack of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$at silicon is negligibly slow (and, in any case, the dependence of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ on the base concentration is inconsistent with contributions from such a process), but there is the possibility of unimolecular cleavage, analogous to that recognized for $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$species, as depicted in equation (3). This process is especially attractive

because the methylsilanolate anion $\operatorname{MeSi}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)=\mathrm{O}$ formed by departure of $\mathrm{R}^{-}$could be expected to be stabilized in the same way as a carboxylate ion (though to a markedly smaller extent), i.e. by the delocalization of the negative charge as in (1). (There is i.r. spectroscopic evidence for the existence of silanoic acid in an argon matrix. ${ }^{8}$ )

(1)

If this picture is correct, then in appropriate regions of base concentration, and provided that this concentration is much greater than that of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, there should be three cleavage processes contributing to the observed overall rate
constant $k_{\text {obs }}$, which will be given by equation (4). By using the definitions, shown below, of the equilibrium constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ for the first and second ionizations of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, we can convert equation (4) into (5) where $K_{1}=\left[\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)\right] /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$$\left[\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right], K_{2}=\left[\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}\right] /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)\right]$, and $\mathrm{B}=$ $\left(k_{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}} / K_{1} K_{1}\right)+\left(k_{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)} / K_{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{\mathrm{obs}}=\underset{\substack{\mathrm{AlOH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\\
k_{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)}\left[\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)\right]+k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}\left[\mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}\right] \\
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=}}{\left.\frac{\mathrm{B}}{[\mathrm{OH}]^{-}}+k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}\right\}} \\
& \frac{K_{1} K_{2}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}}{1+K_{1}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+K_{1} K_{2}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is important to appreciate that in the region where most of the $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is converted into $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$, the concentration of the dianion will be dependent on the square of the base concentration while the equilibrium between $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$ involves the first power of that concentration. Thus when much of the substrate, say $90 \%$, is present as the dianion, doubling of the base concentration will reduce the concentration of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ by a factor approaching four and that of $\left[\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)\right]$by a factor approaching two. At the same time the rate of cleavage of the remaining $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ should be doubled, but this will still mean that the contribution from this cleavage will be halved, as will that from the unimolecular cleavage of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$. Thus at the higher base concentrations the contributions from the cleavages of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$will fall off rapidly with increasing base concentration, and in the limit the observed rate constant will be that for the unimolecular cleavage of $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$. It can thus be seen that as the base concentration is raised from zero there should be an initial steep rise in $k_{\text {obs }}$ as the rate of bimolecular cleavage of the $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ species rises in line with the base concentration, but then the plot of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ against $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$] should begin to curve off (as in the cleavage of $\mathrm{RSiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ species) as the monoanion $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$is formed. As the base concentration is increased further and significant amounts of the dianion $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ are formed, then if the dianion were unreactive $k_{\text {obs }}$ would begin to fall off sharply, ultimately falling effectively to zero, which is not what is observed. If, however, the dianion undergoes cleavage at a significant rate, then the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ might, if that rate were particularly high, level off to a plateau, but it could also pass through a maximum and subsequently level off at a constant value corresponding to the rate constant for the unimolecular cleavage of the dianion alone.

In our view the observed shapes of the plots (Figures 1 and 2) of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in $1: 1$ and $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ must mean that the dianionic species undergoes spontaneous cleavage at a substantial rate. It is important to note that this conclusion remains valid irrespective of the limitations of the rough quantitative analysis which follows.

Equation (5) can be seen to contain four parameters $K_{1}, K_{2}$, $B$, and $k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$, and in order to show that the form of the observed plots of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] can be accounted for in a rough quantitative way in terms of our mechanistic assumptions we need to make estimates of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ in the various media. As noted previously, approximate values for $K_{1}$ of 13 and 200 were determined for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in $1: 1$ and $3: 1$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, respectively, and the corresponding values for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})$ can be assumed to be somewhat larger, say 20 (see earlier) and 400. Data for solutions in MeOH (see later) indicates that $K_{1}$ for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is $c a$. 13 times as large as that for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in that solvent, and use of this factor for the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ media implies rough values of 300 and $5000 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $K_{1}$ in the


| Substrate | Medium | $\theta /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10^{4} k_{\text {A(0 }}{ }^{-}{ }^{\text {a }}$ a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $K_{1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $K_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $10^{5} B^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 3:1 $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 30 | 5000 | 50 | 14 |
|  | 1:1 $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 2.7 | 400 | 4 | 4.5 |
|  | 1:1 $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 50 | 55 | 400 | 4 | 69 |
|  | 19:1 $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 50 | 1.9 | 30 | 0.3 | 19.5 |
| $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ | $3: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 6.7 | 20000 | 200 | 4.0 |
|  | $3: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 50 | 80 | 20000 | 200 | 2.5 |
|  | 1:1 $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 50 | 4.2 | 2000 | 18 | 2.0 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{s}^{-1} ; \mathrm{k}_{\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)\right.}$in the case of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. ${ }^{c}$ In $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Table 5. First-order rate constants $k_{\text {obs }}$ for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\left(8.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ in $x: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}^{-} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing KOH.

| $10^{3}[\mathrm{KOH}] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{3} k_{\text {obs } / \mathrm{s}^{-1}}$ | $\overbrace{10^{3}[\mathrm{KOH}] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}}$ | $10^{3} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $10^{3}[\mathrm{KOH}] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{3} k_{\text {obs }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.0 | . 33 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.00 | 1.26 |
| 27.5 | 0.34 | 5.0 | 12.2 | 3.60 | 1.74 |
| 68 | 0.41 | 8.0 | 15.5 | 11.0 | 1.75 |
| 110 | 0.41 | 13.5 | 15.5 | 36.5 | 1.56 |
| 180 | 0.42 | 22.0 | 13.9 | 55 | 1.25 |
| 240 | 0.42 | 27.5 | 14.1 | 60 | 1.27 |
| 275 | 0.41 | 36.0 | 13.2 | 74.5 | 1.06 |
| 305 | 0.40 | 41.5 | 13.1 | 89 | 0.98 |
| 415 | 0.39 | 56.5 | 12.8 | 118 | 0.91 |
|  |  | 61 | 11.8 | 131 | 0.86 |
|  |  | 71 | 11.3 |  |  |
|  |  | 79 | 11.0 |  |  |
|  |  | 104 | 9.8 |  |  |
|  |  | 115 | 9.2 |  |  |
|  |  | 139 | 8.7 |  |  |
|  |  | 145 | 8.3 |  |  |



Figure 4. Plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against concentration of KOH for cleavage of $m$ $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solid line shown is that derived from equation (5) by use of the values of $K_{1}, K_{2}, B$, and $k_{\left.\mathrm{AlO}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ shown in Table 4, and the dotted line is derived similarly but with $K_{2} 1000 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, K_{2} 20 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, 10^{5} B 11 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $k_{\mathrm{A}_{\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}}=45 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

1:1 and $3: 1$ media, respectively. (In the actual simulations a value of $400 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ was used for the $1: 1$ medium). We then assume that $K_{2}$ is smaller than $K_{1}$ by a factor of $c a .10^{2}$, which is the ratio between the first and second ionization constants of $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot{ }^{7}$ An approximate value of $k_{\left.\mathrm{AlO}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ is then estimated from the value of $k_{\text {obs }}$ in the roughly level portion of the plot of
$k_{\text {obs }}$ against $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$], and a computer analysis used to give a rough value for $B$. The analysis is then carried on to give the best-fit values of $k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ and $B$, with the results shown in Table 4. In Figures 2 and 3 the lines shown are those calculated by use of the relevant values of $K_{1}, K_{2}, B$, and $k_{\mathrm{A}_{\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}}$ shown in Table 4, and it will be seen that for both media the observed form of the variation of $k_{\text {obs }}$ with [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] is reasonably reproduced. That the value $B$ is $c a .30$ times as large for the $3: 1$ as for the $1: 1$ medium reflects the fact that the value of the rate constant $k_{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}$ is raised much more than the values of the equilibrium constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ by the change from the more to the less aqueous medium.

It can be seen from Table 4 (or, indeed, simply from the values of $k_{\text {obs }}$ at the highest base concentrations in the two media) that the value of $k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ in the $3: 1$ is $c a .10$ times as large as that in the $1: 1$ medium at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Such a factor is consistent with the less effective hydration of the $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ ion in the former than in the latter medium, as discussed for the $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$anion earlier.

We note at this point that the form of the dependence of $k_{\text {obs }}$ on the base concentration is consistent not only with the assumed unimolecular dissociation of the $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ anion but also with a rate-determining bimolecular reaction between $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$. In the latter case, however, the attack of the hydroxide on the silicon atom bearing a negatively charged oxygen atom would have to occur about as readily as that on the silicon atom in the uncharged $m$ $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$, which seems highly unlikely. From the values of $k_{\left.\mathrm{AlO}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ derived for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in the $1: 1$ medium at 25 and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rough values of the activation parameters can be derived, viz. $\Delta H^{\ddagger} 22.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} 0.5$ cal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. Such values, especially the near zero entropy of activation, are consistent with a unimolecular process, and

Table 6. First-order rate constants $k$ for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OX})_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H} \text { or } \mathrm{Me})^{a}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $19: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing NaOX .

| $10^{3}[\mathrm{NaOX}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{5} k / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $10^{3}[\mathrm{NaOX}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $10^{5} \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.0 | 2.37 | 570 | 7.4 |
| 45.0 | 3.80 | 870 | 8.15 |
| 180 | 5.1 | 930 | 8.5 |
| 265 | 5.9 | 1640 | 10.3 |
| 380 | 6.95 | 3000 | 11.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Solution made up to contain $1.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$.


Figure 5. Plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against concentration of KOH for cleavage of $m$ $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in 3:1 v/v $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The line shown is that derived from equation (5) with the values of $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $k_{\mathrm{AlO}-12}$ shown in Table 4.
although they are subject to considerable error the differences from the values noted earlier for cleavage of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ by a bimolecular mechanism in this medium, viz. $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ $15.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}-22 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$, are probably significant.

We also examined the cleavage of the triol $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}, \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ media, with the results shown in Table 5 and Figures 3 and 4 . The forms of the plots $k_{\text {obs }}$ against $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$are generally similar to those for the $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ system. We assume that only the ionizations to $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ are important, since no formation of $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{3}$ is observed in strongly basic aqueous solutions of $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot{ }^{7}$ [Even if some $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{3}$ were formed this should have a fairly small effect on $k_{\text {obs }}$ since the trianion could be expected to undergo unimolecular cleavage at a rate comparable to that for $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ ].

We assume that, because of the electron withdrawal by the additional OH group, the values of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ [as defined for $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ ] will be somewhat larger, say by a factor of $4-5$, than those for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. The line shown in Figure 4 for cleavage in $1: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is based on values of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ of 2000 and $18 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, respectively [giving $B$ $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and $\left.k_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \text { ( } \mathrm{OH} \text { )( }}{ }^{-}\right) ~ 4.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ]. For the $3: 1$ medium values of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ of 20000 and $200 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ were used, and it will be seen that the fit is poor in the region of very low base concentrations, where the condition $\left[\mathrm{A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right] \ll$ [ $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$] is not met, but good in the later portion. [A much better
fit, reproducing the observed maximum in $k_{\text {obs }}$, is obtained if values of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ of 1000 and 20 respectively, are used (see dotted line in Figure 4), and in view of the many assumptions made these values cannot be regarded as wholly unrealistic.]

The rate constant for the decomposition of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ would not be expected to be much different from that for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$; in fact, the derived value of $k_{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ is apparently roughly four times as large as that of $k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ in the $3: 1$ medium at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the corresponding factor is $c a .13$ in the $1: 1$ medium at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

We also examined the cleavage at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{SiMe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in $19: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing NaOH , with the results shown in Table 6. The plot (see Figure 5) of $k_{\text {obs }}$ against $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$] (the presence of some methoxide ion being neglected for simplicity) was of the same form as that for the reaction in 1:1 $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, but, of course, much higher base concentrations had to be used in the methanolic medium. Use of a value of $30 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $K_{1}$, and so one of $0.3 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $K_{2}$, gave a good fit to the experimental data with $k_{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}}$ $1.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; this value is $c a .30$ times smaller than that in $1: 1$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, a factor reasonably in line with that of 13 between the values in $3: 1$ and $1: 1 \quad \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and attributable to the more effective solvation of the dianion in the aqueous-methanolic medium. The important feature is that the absence of a fall-off in the $k_{\text {obs }}$ at the high base concentrations means that the dianion is undergoing unimolecular cleavage [of the type shown in reaction (3)], and this provides strong support for our view that the monoanion also undergoes such cleavage in the $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ medium.

The unsaturated intermediate formed from $\operatorname{RSi}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$, $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right) \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O}$, is, of course, the anion of the hypothetical metasilicic acid, and the species formed from the dianions $\mathrm{RSiMe}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ can also be regarded as derived from that acid, or from the hypothetical metasilicous acid, $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{HO}) \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O}$.

## Experimental

Materials.-Methanol was dried as described previously. ${ }^{9}$ Dimethyl sulphoxide was boiled with $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ for 10 h then distilled under reduced pressure. Compounds $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ -$\mathrm{Me}_{n}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3-n}(n=0-2)$ were made as previously described. ${ }^{9}$

Rate Measurements.-Rates were measured spectrophotometrically as previously described. ${ }^{16}$ The wavelengths (in nm) were: (a) for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, 275.6$; (b) for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 279$; (c) for $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SiMeOH}_{2}, 278$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 282 in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; and (d) for $m$ $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}, 277$.

A stock solution, $c a .1-2 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ of the relevant organosilicon compound in the $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ medium was prepared $c a .24 \mathrm{~h}$ before use. (There was no effect on $k_{\text {obs }}$ when the solution was used 5 h or 3 days after being made up.) A suitable volume of the stock solution, pre-warmed to the reaction temperature, was taken, and the reaction started by addition from a microsyringe of an appropriate volume of 2.0 $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} \mathrm{KOH}$ in $1: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $0.28 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{KOH}$ in $3: 1 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, these solutions also being pre-warmed. Solutions in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were made up as previously described. ${ }^{8}$ Good first-order kinetics were observed within a run, and the rate constants for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ and the $\mathrm{PhSiCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{n}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3-n}$ compounds were reproducible to within ca. $3 \%$ for reactions in $1: 1$ and $\pm 6 \%$ for those in $3: 1$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, but reproducibility was somewhat poorer in the case of $m-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.

Determination of K for $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$.-Solutions $\left(1.45 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right.$ ) of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (from $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Si}-$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ ) in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing base were made up as
described for the rate studies, but at room temperature. The optical density at 279.3 nm of each solution was recorded quickly, and the values (with molarities of base in parentheses were): $0.0135(0.00), 0.21\left(5.5 \times 10^{-4}\right), 0.43\left(2.4 \times 10^{-3}\right), 0.70$ $\left(6.0 \times 10^{-3}\right), \quad 0.89\left(1.4 \times 10^{-2}\right), \quad 1.03 \quad\left(3.4 \times 10^{-2}\right), \quad 1.11$ $\left(1.02 \times 10^{-1}\right)$, and $1.14\left(2.1 \times 10^{-1}\right)$. From a plot of optical density against the base concentration it was judged that the conversion into the dianion was half complete in $c a .0 .005 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ base, which implies a value of $K$ of $200 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

A similar procedure for the 1:1 medium gave optical densities at specified base concentrations as follows: 0.00 ( 0.000 ), 0.02 ( 0.0025 ), 0.05 ( 0.005 ), 0.21 ( 0.025 ), 0.33 ( 0.05 ), 0.465 ( 0.10$), 0.58$ ( 0.20 ), 0.66 ( 0.60 ), $0.74(0.84)$. It was judged that conversion into the dianion was half complete in $c a .0 .075 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ base, which implies a value of $K$ of $13 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
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[^0]:    * In the subsequent discussion we assume that no significant amounts of siloxane species are present in the very dilute solutions of the diol (or later a triol) used. (In keeping with this assumption a doubling of the initial concentration of the diol had no significant effect on the observed rate constant.) Powerful support for the assumption comes from the observation that only mononuclear species are present in solutions of $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ in aqueous alkali, and that in concentrated solutions of alkali only $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}^{-}\right)_{2}$ is present. ${ }^{7}$

